UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,578	10/16/2006	Arup K. Sengupta	109-05	3882
	7590 10/16/200 INNER AND SULLIV	EXAMINER		
4875 PEARL E SUITE 200		BARRY, CHESTER T		
BOULDER, CO	0 80301	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/551,	578	SENGUPTA ET A	SENGUPTA ET AL.	
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		CHESTE	ER T. BARRY	1797		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. catutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS pplication to become ABANI	TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters	· ·	e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>3-27</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-2,28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c				
	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to the same of the control of th	: a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) of the correction is requ	be held in abeyance ired if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 C		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application		

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 20040094485.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over 20040094485 in view of 6669849. '849 uses ion exchange technology to decontaminate organic carbon from wastewater. It describes the use of brine (a concentrated inorganic salt solution) to regenerate the resin. '485 describes a technology to remove dissolved organic carbon from concentrated inorganic salt solutions. It would have been obvious to have used the '485 technology to decontaminate the regenerant of DOC because '485 technology is adapted for removal of DOC from concentrated iorganic salt solutions.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Absent a definition of the flow or mass processing rate, the term "industrial scale process" is unreasonably imprecise.

Claims 3 - 27 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,578 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

/Chester T. Barry/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797